Case 4:04	-cv-40265-FDS Document 1	Filed 12/23/2004 Page 1 of 7					
	UNITED STATE DISTRICT OF	S DISTRICT COURT OF THE MASSACHUSETTS OF					
Comcast of ("Comcas	of Massachusetts III, Inc. t")) Case No.:					
	intiff,) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF 47 U.S.C. §553					
vs.)					
Denis Heb	ert	04-40265					
Def	fendant) - 40200)					
	NATURE	OF ACTION					
1.	Plaintiff Comcast of Massachus	setts III, Inc. ("Comcast") brings this Complaint to					
		ed as a result of Defendant Denis Hebert's					
	(hereinafter the "Defendant") ca						
2.	The Defendant's use of statutor	ily prohibited electronic device(s) that					
		omcast's cable television signals violated 553 and effectuated a conversion of the Plaintiff's					
	property, its cable television sign						
	<u>PAI</u>	RTIES					
3.	Comcast is a Massachusetts cor	poration and maintains a place of business at 6					
. :	Campanelli Drive, Andover, Ess	ex County, Massachusetts.					
4. The Defendant was and is an individual with his principal residence at							
	Catherine Street, Gardner, MA 0	1440. Upon information and belief, the					
	Defendant resided at 84 Catherin	e Street, Gardner, MA at all times relevant to the					
	said violations of 47 U.S.C. § 55	277.77.77					
	<u>JURISDICTIO</u>	N AND VENMEUNT \$ 150 00					
5.	This action is brought pursuant to						
		WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED					
		DATE /3/3 7/64					

....

6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

Venue is proper in the United States District Court in and for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Comcast provides cable television services to subscribers in the Gardner area, and other areas in Massachusetts pursuant to franchise agreements with various municipalities.
- 8. Comcast is the successor-in-interest to the legal entity that held the prior cable television franchise in this area, and, as such successor, Comcast has the right to pursue the claims set forth herein even if said claims may have accrued during the time that the predecessor-in-interest held the cable television franchise.
- 9. In order to provide cable television services, Comcast pays fees to programmers for the right to receive programs, mostly by way of interstate radio communications, and transmit their programming over Comcast's system
- 10. The signals that Comcast transmits over its system are private, proprietary communications not intended for public use.
- 11. Subscribers pay Comcast based on the level of service they wish to receive.
- 12. In order to protect its signals and maintain the value of its services, Comcast electronically encodes or scrambles some of its signals so that they must first be decoded by electronic decoding equipment in order to be viewed clearly on a television receiver. The signals Comcast encodes or scrambles include premium channels, such as HBO, Showtime, and Cinemax, for which subscribers pay a separate monthly subscription fee, and pay-per-view events, such as a specific movie, concert or sporting event, for which subscribers pay a specific one-time

- charge to view each event. Comcast provides subscribers with electronic decoding equipment (hereinafter referred to as "decoders") to decode these signals. Comcast programs these decoders so that a subscriber may only view that level of service, which he or she has purchased.
- 13. On or before January 29, 2002, the Defendant or some third party modified a certain converter/descrambler, without Comcast's authorization, thereby creating a descrambling device(s).
- 14. The descrambling device(s) was/were capable of defeating Comcast's encoding and scrambling technology.
- 15. The Defendant used the descrambling device(s) to receive, without authorization, scrambled or encoded programming and services offered over Comcast's system.
- 16. By using the unauthorized and illegal descrambling device(s), the Defendant was able to view Comcast's highest level of cable television programming and service, including premium channels and pay-per-view events, while only paying for a lower level of service.

COUNT I (Violation 47 U.S.C. § 553)

- 17. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. The Defendant's conduct violated Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
- 19. Comcast is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violation of Title 47 U.S.C. §553 and is authorized to institute this action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(1).
- 20. The cable transmissions that make up Comcast's signal are communications services offered over a cable system and, as such, are protected by Title 47 U.S.C. § 553.

- 21. The Defendant knowingly and willfully violated Title 47 U.S.C. § 553.
- 22. Comcast did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's interception and use of its cable transmissions.
- 23. The Defendant's violations have injured Comcast's ability to generate revenue by depriving Comcast of payment for its programming.

COUNT II

(Conversion)

- 24. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23.
- 25. The Defendant exercised dominion and control over the Plaintiff's property, its cable television signals, without authorization or legal right to do so.
- 26. The Defendant's conduct was willful, intentional, malicious, and wrongful, with the intent to deprive the Plaintiff of the right to possession of its cable television signals.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's conversion of the Plaintiff's signals the Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages; accordingly, the Defendant is liable for all of the Plaintiff's damages.

WHEREFORE, Comcast prays for Judgment against the Defendant and requests that the Court grant it the following relief:

- 1. Statutory damages of \$10,000.00 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a), totaling \$10,000.00.
- 2. Money damages in favor of the Plaintiff for all damages the Plaintiff has suffered as a result of the Defendant's conversion;
- 3. Comcast's attorney's fees and costs in prosecuting this lawsuit as provided for by

47 U.S.C. 553(c)(2)(C);

- 4. The issuance of a permanent injunction pursuant to provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 553 utilizing the following language or language of a similar nature:
 - "The Court hereby enjoins the Defendant, the Defendant's respective agents, servants, employees and any person or entity controlled directly or indirectly by the Defendant or acting on the Defendant's behalf from the further use and/or distribution of electronic equipment designed for the unauthorized interception of signal in violation of provisions of Title 47."
- 5. Post judgment interest pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 1961; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted for the Plaintiff, Comcast of Massachusetts III, Inc. By Its Attorney,

Date.

John M. McLaughlin

Green, Miles, Lipton & Fitz-Gibbon

77 Pleasant Street

P.O. Box 210

Northampton, MA 01061 Telephone: (413) 586-0865

BBO No. 556328

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	TITLE O	F CASE (NAME OF FIRST PA	RTY ON EACH SID	E ONLY) Comcast o	of Massa	chusett	s III, Inc. vs. Denis I	<u>lebert</u>	
2.	CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIV COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).							ON THE CIVIL		
		l.	160, 410, 470, R.2	3, REGARDLESS (OF NATURE OF SUIT	-				
	<u>XX</u>	И.	195, 368, 400, 440 740, 790, 791, 820	, 441-444, 540, 550 *, 830*, 840*, 850,), 555, 625, 710, 720, 890, 892-894, 895, 95	730, 50.	*Alse for _l	o complete AO 120 o patent, trademark o	or AO 121 r copyright cas	ses
		III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891,	, 345, 350, 355, 366	0, 240, 245, 290, 310, 0, 362, 365, 370, 371,	(14	-402	265	
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,	, 460, 510, 530, 616 870, 871, 875, 900	0, 620, 630, 640, 650,	660,	<i>-</i>			
	_	V.	150, 152, 153.							
3.	TITLE AN	ID NUMB EN FILED	ER, IF ANY, OF REL IN THIS DISTRICT F	ATED CASES. (SI PLEASE INDICATE	EE LOCAL RULE 40. THE TITLE AND NU	1(G)). IF MBER O	MORE OF THE F	THAN ONE PRIOR F	RELATED CAS N THIS COUR	E T.
	<u>None</u>									
4.	HAS A P	RIOR AC	TION BETWEEN THE	SAME PARTIES	AND BASED ON THE	SAME (CLAIM E	EVER BEEN FILED II	N THIS COURT	Γ?
5.	DOES TH	IE COMP	LAINT IN THIS CASE T? (SEE 28 USC §2	QUESTION THE 2403)	CONSTITUTIONALIT	Y OF AN	ACT O	F CONGRESS AFFE	CTING THE	
	IF SO, IS	THE U.S.	A. OR AN OFFICER,	AGENT OR EMPL	OYEE OF THE U.S.	YES A PARTY YES	(?	X		
6.	IS THIS C	CASE REC	QUIRED TO BE HEA	RD AND DETERMI	NED BY A DISTRICT		-			_
	28 USC §	22847			WED DI ADIOTATO		□ NO		UANT TO THE	.E
7.	DO ALL (OF THE P	ARTIES IN THIS AC	TION EVOLUDING	GOVERNMENTAL A			×		
•	COMINO	AAAEWI" I L	OF MASSACHUSE (SEE LOCAL RULE	I IS ("GOVERNME	ENTAL AGENCIES"),	RESIDI	NG IN N	THE UNITED STATES MASSACHUSETTS R	3 AND THE ESIDE IN THE	
			(000 100) 10 10 10 10	- 40.1(<i>D)</i> //.		YES	⊠ NO			
		1.	IF YES, IN WHICH DI EASTERN DIVISION	VISION DO <u>ALL</u> OF T	THE NON-GOVERNMEN CENTRAL DIVISION	TAL PAR	TIES RES	IDE? WESTERN DIVISIO	DN □	
		2.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIV GOVERNMENTAL AGI	'ISION DO THE MAJO ENCIES, RESIDING II	ORITY OF THE PLAINT N MASSACHUSETTS RE	IFFS OR 1 SIDE?	THE ONL	Y PARTIES, EXCLUDIA	IG	
			EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVISION			WESTERN DIVISION	∩	
(PLE	EASE TYPE	OR PRINT)							
411	ORNEY'S N	AME	John M. McLaughlin							
٩DD	RESS <u>G</u>	een, Mile	s, Lipton & Fitz-Gibb	on, 77 Pleasant Str	eet, P.O. Box 210, No	orthampt	on, MA (01061		

TELEPHONE NO. (413) 586-0865

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ine purpose of initiating t	ile civil docket slieet.	(355 1143	DEFENDANTS	REVERSE O	F THE FORM	vf.)		
Comcast of Massachusetts III, Inc.			1 -25-01 Boulet 1-370 or attended the second control of the second						
				Denis Hebert		മാ	aK		
			04-40265						
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE		Worcester		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT Worcester					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME,	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUM	MBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	·				
John M. McLaughlin 77 Pleasant Street, P. Northampton, MA 010	O. Box 210 61-0210 (413) 586-8	218							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF PRIN Diversity Cases Only)	ICIPAL PAI	RTIES (PLAC AND ON	E AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF NE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	¥ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern	on ment Not a Party)			PTF DEF	Incorporated	PTF DEF or Principal Place s In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	enship of Parties	Citi	zen of Another State	2 2	Incorporated	and Principal Place 5 5			
	in Item III)		Cit F	izen or Subject of a : oreign Country	94 (44)	Foreign Nati	on •		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN	ONE BOX ONLY)							
CONTRACT	ТТ	ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKE	RUPTCY	OTHER STATIDIES		
10 Insurance 20 Marine 30 Miller Act 40 Negotiable Instrument 50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 10 Land Condemnation 20 Foreclosure 30 Rent Lease & EJectment 40 Torts to Land 45 Tort Product Liability 290 All other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 375 Motor Veh	PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractic 65 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product L PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth In Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Llability PRISONER PETIT 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General 335 Death Penalty 340 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 655 Prison Condition	e e la lability RTY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 360 Occupational Safety/Health 390 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl Ret Inc. Security Act	423 Withdown 28 USI PROPER 320 Copyri 330 Patent 340 Trader 161 HIA (1: 62 Black I 63 DIWC/ 164 SSID T 65 RSI (4: FEDERAL 870 Taxes (or Def 871 IRS - T	C 157 TY RIGHTS Ights Immark SECURITY 395ff) Lung (923) DIWW(405(g)) iile XVI 05(g)) TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff endant)	400 State Reapportionment 110 Antitrust 130 Banks and Banking 150 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc, 160 Deportation 170 Racketser Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 170 Selective Service 350 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 175 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 391 Agricultural Acts 192 Economic Stabilization Act 193 Environmental Matters 194 Energy Allocation Act 195 Freedom of Information Act 190 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 1950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 1890 Other Statutory Actions		
V. ORIGIN	***	(PLACE AN	'X" IN ONI	E BOX ONLY) Transfern			Appeal to District		
	e Court Appe N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STAT	ellate Court R	einstated eopened RE FILING	or another di (specify)	istrict	Multidistri Litigation	Judge from ict Magistrate Judgment		
/II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTIO	N D	EMAND \$ \$10,000.00	* . 1	K YES only i	f demanded in Complaint		
/III. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) (See instructions):	UDGE				:			
PATE 12 22	s (SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	A SECORD (1	7			
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	1		,	100					
ECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG BI	DCE.		

__ JUDGE__

– MAG. JUDGE –